Conceptual framework of psychiatric care in India: moving from community psychiatry to public psychiatry

Abstract
The psychiatric care across western countries has evolved from mental hospitals to community psychiatry as a by-product of deinstitutionalisation. The psychiatric care in India traditionally followed the homecare then shifted to mental asylums, then to various forms of community care such as co-community care within mental hospitals, camps, rural mental health clinics, District Mental Health Programmes (DMHPs), and general hospital units/private clinics.
With these evolutions, the psychiatric care in India can be divided into mental hospital psychiatry and community psychiatry. This division hinders the growth of many other sub-specialties of psychiatry such as primary care psychiatry, disaster psychiatry, public psychiatry, etc.
The authors propose to abandon the concept of community psychiatry and classifying psychiatric care into two broader divisions: clinical psychiatry and public psychiatry. Clinical psychiatry is divided into hierarchy-based primary, secondary, tertiary, and quaternary care psychiatry.
In contrast, public psychiatry is to be divided into non-hierarchy-based community/local level (micro), district level (meso), state/country (macro), and global level (mega). The proposed public psychiatry cannot function in isolation or standalone field. It shall begin to synchronise with an Indianised Jacob’s concept of public health to achieve its targets. The divisions proposed above should be kept in mind for framing the future policies on psychiatric care in India.


INTRODUCTION
The psychiatric care across the world has traditionally evolved in two ways: mental hospital psychiatry and community psychiatry. However, community psychiatry has evolved into different forms of psychiatric care outside the mental hospitals. Given the significant growth and development of different psychiatric care models, the concept of community psychiatry is being used beyond its intended usage, which authors believe, hindering the growth of other psychiatric fields. The authors aim to discuss psychiatric care across the globe in general and specifically in India, and critically analyse the different models of community psychiatry, bringing the contemporary definitions of community psychiatry, and at the end, propose alternate concepts for futuristic psychiatric care.

"The change is the rule of the world" – The Bhagavad Geeta.

Barikar C Malathesh1, Narayana Manjunatha2, Channaveerachari Naveen Kumar3, Suresh Bada Math4, Jagadisha Thirthalli5
1 Department of Psychiatry, All Indian Institute of Medical Sciences, Bibinagar, Hyderabad, India, 2 Tele-Medicine Centre, Department of Psychiatry, National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences, Bengaluru, India, 3 Community Psychiatry, Tele-Medicine Centre, Department of Psychiatry, National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences, Bengaluru, India, 4 Tele-Medicine Centre and Forensic Psychiatry, Department of Psychiatry, National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences, Bengaluru, India, 5 Psychiatric Rehabilitation Services, Department of Psychiatry, National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences, Bengaluru, India

Correspondence: Dr N Manjunatha, Tele-Medicine Centre, NIMHANS Digital Academy, Department of Psychiatry, National Institute of Mental Health and Neuro Sciences, Bengaluru-560029, India. manjunatha.adc@gmail.com

Received: 19 June 2021
Revised: 30 August 2021
Accepted: 4 September 2021
Epub: 25 February 2023
DOI: 10.5958/2394-2053.2022.00007.6

PSYCHIATRIC CARE: GLOBAL SCENARIO
The establishment of mental hospitals across western countries has played a significant role in the evolution of psychiatric care. The concept of mental hospitals originated from the idea of lunatic asylums. Before establishing lunatic asylums, persons with mental illness (PWMI) were detained in prisons with criminals. The introduction of the lunatic asylum in the 1790s paved the way for the segregation of PWMI from criminals. Still, unfortunately, PWMI were continued to be managed by the same prison authorities. The purpose of lunatic asylums was to segregate persons with mental illness (PWMI) from the community and not for the purpose of their treatment.[1] These asylums were constructed away from cities, with high enclosures, and often in military barracks where escape was impossible.[2]
Realisation of the ill effects of long-term institutionalisation in mental asylums and the advent of Chlorpromazine brought in a paradigm shift in the care of PWMI. The clinically stable patients were discharged out of the mental hospitals and were provided care outside in the community. This deinstitutionalisation gave birth to the concept of ‘community psychiatry’ in western countries.[3,4] At that time, community psychiatry was denoting the extension of the clinical psychiatric activities outside the mental hospitals within the community.[5]

**EVOLUTION OF PSYCHIATRIC CARE IN INDIA**

Psychiatric care in India had followed concepts of community psychiatry from the pre-colonial era itself, which was much before the deinstitutionalisation of western countries.[5] Table 1 provides a glimpse of the evolution of psychiatric care from mental hospital psychiatry to different forms of community psychiatry.

It is important to note here that all forms of psychiatric care outside the mental hospitals are termed community psychiatry. In short, it is conceptualised into a classical dichotomy of psychiatric care as mental hospital psychiatry and community psychiatry.

**PSYCHIATRIC CARE IN INDIA: EVOLUTION OF COMMUNITY PSYCHIATRY AND ITS ENCROACHMENTS**

Let us review the meaning of the term ‘community’ from a public health perspective. MacQueen et al.[14] studied to evolve an evidence-based definition of ‘community’ for public health perspective. Definition of community is “a group of people with diverse characteristics linked by common perspectives, engaging in joint action in geographical locations or settings.”[14] The authors derive two important components of the term community: people-centric and location-centric components from this definition. In general, the professionals understand traditionally that all psychiatric activity outside the mental hospitals and large general hospitals as community psychiatry.[15] With these developments in psychiatric care, Burns[5] questions that “is not all psychiatry now community psychiatry?”

There are different insights with analysis of various forms of psychiatric care of India. The homecare of PWMI within the family by the family members was more acceptable even during the pre-colonial era. The ‘co-community care within Amritsar mental hospital’ initiative began in the late 1950s, even before the deinstitutionalisation of western countries, which started in the 1950s-1960s.[5] Following the success of rural mental health clinics,[7,8] mental hospital psychiatrists began providing psychiatric care outside their mental hospitals. The rural mental health clinic model provided psychiatric care at primary health centres, but still, it was referred to as community psychiatry services. Later, psychiatric camp models gained popularity for discharged patients in informal non-healthcare/non-clinical settings near their residences. These included schools, temples, factories, gram panchayats, etc., on designated days (community model). Until this point, the activities justified the tag of ‘community psychiatry.’ However, the concept of community psychiatry began to get diluted and encroached on ‘primary care psychiatry’ when these camps got shifted to formal healthcare establishments. A significant drawback of the camp model at established healthcare settings was that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Forms of psychiatric care</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-colonial era</td>
<td>Homecare within the community</td>
<td>Persons with psychiatric illnesses were cared for by their family members either in their families or in some community settings through cultural and religious methods of healing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonial era</td>
<td>Mental hospital psychiatry</td>
<td>Custodial care in the mental asylum without family members. Lunatic/mental asylum custodial care evolved from prison custodial care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General hospital psychiatry</td>
<td>Began in pre-independence era and became popular in post-colonial era (as mentioned in ‘4e’ row).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-colonial era</td>
<td>Community psychiatry</td>
<td>All kind of psychiatric care outside the mental hospitals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Co-community care within a mental hospital</td>
<td>Treatment of patients in the presence of family members within the mental hospital by in-house psychiatrists.[6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Rural mental health clinics</td>
<td>Raipur Rani and Sakalawara project with aim to integrate psychiatric care into existing primary healthcare infrastructure.[7,8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Psychiatric camp (extension/satellite/outreach clinic)[10]</td>
<td>Conducted on the pre-decided date and place. Moved from informal non-healthcare settings (temple, school, gram panchayat, etc.)[9] to formal healthcare settings (nearby primary health centres, taluk hospitals, private clinics, etc.).[10,11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>District Mental Health Programme</td>
<td>As an operational arm of the National Mental Health Programme, it is focused on broadening the scope of delivering psychiatric care at district hospitals, taluk hospitals, and primary health centre through primary care doctors.[7,12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>General hospital psychiatry units and private clinics/hospitals</td>
<td>Began in India from 1933. Delivered and extended at multi-specialty general hospital settings, polyclinic, psychiatric nursing homes, office-based private clinics.[13]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
there was no involvement of in-house primary care doctors in providing psychiatric care (these days, this model is known as the co-location integration model).[16]

Even though in DMHP, there is mention of awareness creation, stigma reduction, early detection, and rehabilitation of PWMI, these goals took a back seat, and the focus remained on the treatment of severe mental illnesses.[7,12] In addition, one of the goals of DMHP was establishing psychiatric care in district hospitals (tertiary care), taluk hospitals (secondary care), and even at primary health centres (primary care). So, equating DMHP to community psychiatry is inappropriate as the clinical psychiatric services are provided through healthcare establishments. In this way, the concept of community psychiatry began to encroach upon other sub-specialised areas, i.e., general hospital psychiatry, primary care psychiatry, etc. It has been suggested that in many developing countries, the majority of the activities done under the current rubric of community psychiatry are, in fact, primary care psychiatry activities. Hence, Thara et al.[17] opined that primary care psychiatry could effectively replace community psychiatry in developing countries. The non-clinical aspects of community psychiatry (even in DMHP), such as public education activities (talk or article) by psychiatrists on television or radio channels or social media, are meant for the general population and not for any particular community.

With the above developments over the last 70 years, the term ‘community psychiatry’ has been used beyond its intended meaning and has encroached on sub-specialty areas. This encroachment is hindering the growth and development of community psychiatry as well as other sub-specialities. The initial aim of ‘community psychiatry’ was to promote deinstitutionalisation and create psychiatric service delivery systems outside the mental hospitals, and this has been achieved to a maximum extent. Hence, it is time to abandon the term ‘community psychiatry’ and find a better alternative term.

Thara et al.[17] have rightly stated that the term ‘community psychiatry’ has been used in India to indicate the establishment of mental health services in the community rather than the process of deinstitutionalisation. An Indian researcher defined ‘community psychiatry’ as providing mental health services to persons and families with mental illness within the community using community resources. The community settings may be any religious place: dharamsala, gurudwara, a person’s own house, gram panchayats, or any other place in the community.[9] In addition, even non-clinical educational-related activities, i.e., public educational activities such as community talk, radio talk, school-related activities, public education articles in print or social media, etc., are also included in the broader rubric of community psychiatry activities. With these developments, community psychiatry has encroached upon two vastly different fields of psychiatry, that is, clinical psychiatry and non-clinical psychiatry, thereby becoming vague and diluted.

THE CONTEMPORARY MEANING OF COMMUNITY PSYCHIATRY

In a paper titled “A systematic review of anxiety prevalence in adults within primary care and community settings in Malaysia,” Wong et al.[18] provided a contemporary definition of the ‘community studies’ for the studies done within a non-healthcare establishment within the community, and the ‘primary care studies’ for the studies done within a healthcare establishment providing primary care services.

Extrapolating from Wong et al’s definition, [18] we propose a new meaning for community psychiatry. Community psychiatry can mean providing psychiatric care in non-healthcare establishments either for a small homogeneous population (people-centric approach) or at a small geographic area (location-centric method). ‘Primary care psychiatry’ can be defined as providing psychiatric care within a healthcare establishment providing primary care services.

DRAWING THE PARALLEL FROM THE FIELD OF COMMUNITY MEDICINE

Park’s preventive and social medicine textbook states that ‘community medicine’ is a newer concept with its successors’ public health, community health, preventive and social medicine creating more confusion than clarity. Prevention of disease and promotion of health are the two things commonly shared among all these earlier concepts. Many definitions of community medicine exist. The faculty of community medicine at the Royal College of Physicians have defined ‘community medicine’ as a specialty that deals with populations. The specialty comprises doctors who measure the needs of people (both sick and well) to plan and deliver services. They also engage in research and teaching in the field.[19] To make things worse, the World Health Organization (WHO) study group advocated for each country to formulate their respective definition of community medicine since health problems, tradition, geography, and resources vary from country to country.[20] There is still confusion and conflict about the role, tasks, professional identity among the academic worlds of community medicine.[19,21] Even Garg[22] echoed the concerns about public health and community medicine in India.

Krishnan[21] from Centre of Community Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, echoed the confusion in community medicine and stated that public health and clinical medicine are entirely different disciplines with no overlap. He asserts that the public health branch should not directly connect with patient care but should have everything related to preventing illness, promoting general well-being, and advocating in policies related to healthcare delivery and associated fields.

Often, most community medicine specialists profess population-based approaches; they practice curative medicine in India. There are many reasons for it. One of the critical but neglected reasons is not understanding the unique concept of public health from the developing country’s perspective, beyond medicine and primary healthcare, and trying to replicate the public health perspective of developed countries.[23,24] Jacob[23,24] proposes that water, sanitation, housing, nutrition, education, and employment should be met to successfully implement any public health programme in India (tuberculosis is a classic example).
Similarly, the concept of community psychiatry in India, despite its talk of prevention and promotion of mental health, essentially focuses on curative approaches, albeit with a shift of setting to the community, primary and secondary care locations. The authors offer Jacob's[23,24] explanation for this discrepancy in the current practice of community psychiatry.

Drawing parallels from the above arguments, non-clinical activities related to prevention of mental illness, promotion of mental well-being, active involvement in policies related to mental healthcare delivery, etc., can be termed as public psychiatry. The term ‘public’ in proposed public psychiatry denotes the contemporary Indianised meaning of public service delivered by both government and private settings, not as western concept of public service only by government sectors.

PROPOSED FUTURISTIC CONCEPTS FOR PSYCHIATRIC CARE: CLINICAL AND PUBLIC PSYCHIATRY

To update on clarity on conceptualisation about community psychiatry, the authors propose a newer concept of psychiatry divided into two broader categories: clinical psychiatry and public psychiatry based on the target of outcome from clinical population and the general population, respectively. These newer concepts are an adopted model of Krishnan[21] of community medicine and clinical medicine. The clinical psychiatry shall include all clinical aspects of contemporary community psychiatry, whereas public psychiatry shall include all non-clinical aspects of contemporary community psychiatry (Table 2).

Further, the ‘clinical psychiatry’ can be conveniently classified four-tier based hierarchy of healthcare delivery system as ‘primary care psychiatry’ at primary health centres by primary care doctors (MBBS), secondary care/general hospital psychiatry at district/taluk hospitals/multi-specialty hospitals/private clinics by psychiatry specialists, tertiary care/general hospital psychiatry at medical colleges, and quaternary care psychiatry at apex medical/mental health institutes.

The ‘public psychiatry’ can be conveniently classified in non-hierarchical four levels such as community/local level (micro), district level (meso), state/country (macro), and global level (mega), and its respective activities are provided in Table 2. The meaning of non-hierarchical level is that the different levels work occurs parallelly and complementing each other's work without depending upon another. The residents in psychiatry should be trained in these areas of psychiatry as well, preferably through post-doctoral fellowship programmes in public psychiatry, which can help in development of the cadre dedicated to this work. Further discussion of non-hierarchical level is available at Krishnan.[21]

Community psychiatry may be restricted to providing psychiatric care in non-clinical settings in a small local population group, such as awareness talks, a talk on stress management/exam preparation, even for non-recurring camps at the non-healthcare establishment, etc. However, since the scope of this term is very limited in the contemporary world, we suggest entirely abandoning the term community psychiatry. Instead, ‘public psychiatry’ shall be used for non-clinical aspects of community psychiatry activities especially involving the general and vulnerable population (range from micro-, meso, macro-, and mega-level).

We provide examples of activities for the proposed futuristic concepts of psychiatric care.

A. PUBLIC PSYCHIATRY ACTIVITIES

The proposed public psychiatry still at the infancy stage in India. We tend to believe here that public psychiatry shall cover from the negative concept of health (illness) to positive concept of health (wellness). It is prudent to begin from individual-based illness approach with population-driven targets and outcomes given contemporary criticism/progress of community medicine and community psychiatry. With experience gained over a period, authors suggest to gradually shift the focus entirely to population-based illness and wellness approach. The Global Wellness Institute[25] defines wellness as the active pursuit of activities, choices, and lifestyles that lead to a state of holistic health. Wellness is a multi-dimensional concept with six dimensions: physical, mental, emotional, spiritual, social, and environmental. A further discussion of wellness is in the section of limitations and future directions.

However, the concept of ‘public psychiatry’ shall continue to deal with the promotive and preventive activities covering

Table 2: Proposed alternative concepts for psychiatric care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public psychiatry</th>
<th>Clinical psychiatry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mega- global level</td>
<td>Primary-MBBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macro- state, country level</td>
<td>Secondary-MD (general hospital)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meso- district level</td>
<td>Tertiary (general hospital academic centre)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro- community, local level</td>
<td>Quaternary (subspecialty)-Fellowships/DM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policymaking activities, legislation, economics, epidemiology, etc.</td>
<td>Psychiatry care by primary care doctors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policymaking activities, legislation, economics, epidemiology, etc.</td>
<td>At district hospital/taluk hospitals/private clinics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity-building activities</td>
<td>At medical colleges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness programmes, screening vulnerable population, mental well-being programmes</td>
<td>At apex institutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MBBS: Bachelor of Medicine, Bachelor of Surgery, MD: Doctor of Medicine, DM: Doctorate of Medicine. Adopted from Krishnan[21]
 psychiatry and the broader category of mental health, including policy, legislation, etc., operating mainly outside the healthcare establishments where targeted outcomes are from the general population. It shall move in the direction of the individual-based illness approach towards the population-based wellness outcomes.

Authors believe that public psychiatry cannot succeed in isolation. First, it needs to synchronise with the Jacob’s concept of public health from developing countries’ perspective.[23,24] Further, public psychiatry is an interdisciplinary area guided by public psychiatrists and includes various other specialties such as epidemiologists, economists, yoga practitioners, occupational therapists, basic scientists, engineers (e.g., urban designer planners), climate change specialists, paramedical, and allied health/mental health professionals.

The authors propose a few activities under public psychiatry below.

1. The focus shall be on highly prevalent common mental disorders.
2. **Wellness psychiatry:** Expanding the scope from illness to wellness, including promoting beyond mental well-being and preventing psychiatric diseases.
3. **Preventive psychiatry:** This community-level intervention falls very well under the ambit of public psychiatry.[26]
4. **Screening of vulnerable population at non-clinical/healthcare settings:** Vulnerable groups like children, women, those who are undergoing a crisis, trauma are at increased risk of developing mental health issues. Targeting those populations in their place would help in the early identification of a mental illness. These people can be identified in schools (school children), family courts (marital discord), police stations (people in conflict with the law), juvenile homes (children in conflict with the law), people facing a natural disaster, etc. All these are vulnerable groups that need screening in the non-healthcare setting. Preventive psychiatry is a slightly grey area as there is a clinical component here in dispensing medications to those identified with mental health issues.
5. **Disaster psychiatry:** Focus on studying psychiatric epidemiology and developing an intervention for disasters.[27]
6. **Awareness creation activities:** Mental health illiteracy among the general population is very high. Educating the public about mental illness, its early detection, and the rights of the mentally ill are need of the hour.[28]
7. **Role of alternative and complementary medicine:** Promoting yoga and other alternative therapies to promote mental well-being.[29]
8. **Policymaking activities:** Public psychiatrists should liaise with the policymakers in ensuring that the policies promote the wellness of the general and the vulnerable population. Examples include guidelines regarding the mental health services during a natural disaster, educational policies involving the children, policies related to persons with mental illness, policies related to children in conflict with the law, the need for care and protection, etc.

9. **Climate change and mental health:** This is the upcoming and exciting interdisciplinary area of public psychiatry, especially from a background of basic sciences and engineers.[30]
10. **Urban planning and mental health:** It is also an upcoming area related to public psychiatry where the impact of poor urban planning affects mental health.[31]
11. **Lesson from COVID-19 pandemic:** The public psychiatry should learn from COVID-19 pandemic. At first, the care for COVID-19 began with institutional/isolation care in COVID care centre in first wave. Later triaging done at primary health centres, with policy of homecare for mild cases and shifting severe cases to dedicated clinical/hospital care. In addition, a triad of public health interventions of preventive measures such as face mask, hand sanitisation, and physical distancing on an individual level and lockdown for universal prevention. Public psychiatry should learn from COVID-19 care to delivery of psychiatric care at primary care to reach the last persons in more significant numbers.

**B. PRIMARY CARE PSYCHIATRY (PCP) ACTIVITIES**

Authors believe that there are four pillars of primary care, i.e., doctors, nurses, pharmacists, and accredited social health activist (ASHA) workers who can actively provide primary psychiatric care to PWMI. In India, authors believe that most of the practicing psychiatrists provide primary care (first-line pharmacological treatment and continuity of care) to their psychiatric patients. In an ideal world, primary care of psychiatric patients should be provided in the general practice of primary care doctors (PCDs) with Bachelor of Medicine, Bachelor of Surgery (MBBS) degrees (psychiatriacally integrated general practice). Unfortunately, it is not successfully addressed so far. Given that 30-50% of all patients attending primary healthcare facilities suffer from psychiatric conditions,[32] there is an urgent need to address the issue. The Tele-Medicine Centre, National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences (NIMHANS), Bengaluru, India, a tele-consultation wing of NIMHANS Digital Academy (NDA), has designed and developed an innovative specialised primary care psychiatry program (PCPP) dedicated to empowering practicing PCDs to provide psychiatric care among their general practice.[33,34] As a prototype, ‘Diploma in Primary Care Psychiatry,’ a one-year, digitally-driven, part-time course for practicing MBBS doctors, is developed with the sole aim of integrating psychiatric care in general practice of MBBS doctors. Doctors undergo innovative training in their live real-time patients without having for deputation to NIMHANS, Bengaluru. There is a need to innovate more, such PCPPs.[33–37] PCPP need to further expand beyond PCDs to other pillars of primary care psychiatry, such as ASHA workers, pharmacists, and nurses. Please note that NDA already has tele-educational courses, Diploma in Community Mental Health for nurses, social workers, and psychologists. Pharmacists are entirely ignored to date in public psychiatric healthcare system. Few studies have assessed the effects of the participation of ASHA workers in primary care psychiatry.[38–40] There is a need
Further clinical psychiatry to be divided into primary, secondary, tertiary, and quaternary care. This new proposal encourages further development of different sub-specialties of psychiatry such as public psychiatry, primary care psychiatry, rehabilitation psychiatry, etc.

CONCLUSIONS

The concept of community psychiatry began as psychiatric care outside the mental hospitals and included non-clinical educational activities. This concept of community psychiatry, either people-centric or location-centric, reached a stage where every psychiatric activity could be explained under the broader definition of community psychiatry! Hence, community psychiatry is outdated with the blurring of its boundary in the contemporary world. The time has now come to update the concept of community psychiatry, either restricting its use or abandoning and replacing it with newer ideas. Abandoning the concept of community psychiatry provides clarity of the work involved. Authors suggest replacing the clinical part of community psychiatry as clinical psychiatry’ and the non-clinical portion of community psychiatry as ‘public psychiatry’

REFERENCES

22. Garg BS. Teaching/training and practice of public health/PSM/
32. Manjunatha N, Kumar CN, Math SB, Thirthalli J. Designing and implementing an innovative digitally driven primary care psychiatry program in India. Indian J Psychiatry. 2018;60:236-44.