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Cover Letter: Include a cover letter and complete contact information for the corresponding author (postal/mail address, e-mail address and telephone number).

Title Page: The title page should list full names, degrees, academic affiliations and locations of each author.

Abstract: The summary should count 200-250 words and have a structured form, i.e. reflect structure of an article (background, material and methods, results, conclusion).

Keywords: Keywords should not repeat the title of the manuscript. Ideally, authors should use keywords selected from the MeSH catalogue.

Text: Research works should be divided into background, material and methods, results, discussion, conclusions, references.

References: References should be presented in consecutive order (as they are cited in the text). The first six authors should be presented. Journal title abbreviations should be in Medline standard. Citations in the text should be marked by Arab numbers in brackets. Each citation item should be placed in a separate paragraph.
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The Open Journal of Psychiatry & Allied Sciences (OJPAS) follows the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) Recommendations. The ICMJE recommends that authorship be based on the following 4 criteria:

1. Substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; AND
2. Drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND
3. Final approval of the version to be published; AND
4. Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Contributors who meet fewer than all 4 of the above criteria for authorship should not be listed as authors, but they should be acknowledged.

Informed consent is one of the primary ethical considerations in research involving human participants. The lead researchers are required to disclose financial interests for them or other research personnel related to all research projects that involve the use of human subjects. Permission of ethics committee/institutional review board (IRB), statement of sources of support and conflict of interest are mandatory.

Conflict-of-Interest Statement: Public trust in the peer review process and the credibility of published articles depend in part on how well conflict of interest is handled during writing, peer review, and editorial decision making. Conflict of interest exists when an author (or the author's institution), reviewer, or editor has financial or personal relationships that inappropriately influence (bias) his or her actions (such relationships are also known as dual commitments, competing interests, or competing loyalties). These relationships vary from those with negligible potential to those with great potential to influence judgment, and not all relationships represent true conflict of interest. The potential for conflict of interest can exist whether or not an individual believes that the relationship affects his or her scientific judgment. Financial relationships (such as employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony) are the most easily identifiable conflicts of interest and the most likely to
undermine the credibility of the journal, the authors, and of science itself. However, conflicts can occur for other reasons, such as personal relationships, academic competition, and intellectual passion.
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**Statement of Informed Consent:** Patients have a right to privacy that should not be infringed without informed consent. Identifying information, including patients' names, initials, or hospital numbers, should not be published in written descriptions, photographs, and pedigrees unless the information is essential for scientific purposes and the patient (or parent or guardian) gives written informed consent for publication. Informed consent for this purpose requires that a patient who is identifiable be shown the manuscript to be published. Authors should identify individuals who provide writing assistance and disclose the funding source for this assistance.

Identifying details should be omitted if they are not essential. Complete anonymity is difficult to achieve, however, and informed consent should be obtained if there is any doubt. For example, masking the eye region in photographs of patients is inadequate protection of anonymity. If identifying characteristics are altered to protect anonymity, such as in genetic pedigrees, authors should provide assurance that alterations do not distort scientific meaning and editors should so note.

The requirement for informed consent should be included in the journal's instructions for authors. When informed consent has been obtained it should be indicated in the published article.
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**Statement of Human and Animal Rights:** When reporting experiments on human subjects, authors should indicate whether the procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000 (5). If doubt exists whether the research was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration, the authors must explain the rationale for their approach, and demonstrate that the institutional review body explicitly approved the doubtful aspects of the study. When reporting experiments on animals, authors should be asked to indicate whether the institutional and national guide for the care and use of laboratory animals was followed.
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**REVIEWER GUIDELINES**

We in the Open Journal of Psychiatry & Allied Sciences are for giving liberty to the reviewers to review the manuscripts according to their own styles. This is in line with the 'out of the box' lateral thinking that we promote in the journal. 'About the Journal' also says: “New ideas come from fresh minds before they are bogged down by conventional thinking. In the journal, we plan to tap those materials, ideas, and discussions.”

So, reviewer's own style of reviewing the manuscript is welcome. Having said so, covering the following points in the 'reviewer comments' is welcome.

**Comments on:** relevancy of the study, appropriateness of methodology including statistical analysis, presentation of results including tables and figures, discussion including limitations and implications.

Moreover, any other specific comments in short, highlighting the texts in the manuscript that require revision, can be informative to the authors.

**Opinion on:** reject/revision/accept.